



UNIVERSIDAD DE CONCEPCIÓN  
DIRECCIÓN DE POSTGRADO  
FACULTAD DE EDUCACIÓN  
MAGISTER EN INNOVACIÓN DE LA ENSEÑANZA, APRENDIZAJE Y  
EVALUACIÓN DEL INGLÉS

## **The effectiveness of Quizizz when working with descriptive adjectives**

Tesis para optar al grado de Magíster en Innovación de la Enseñanza,  
Aprendizaje y Evaluación del Inglés

Paula Hurtado Moscoso  
Concepción-Chile

2020

Profesor Guía: Dr. Claudio Díaz Larenas  
Facultad de Educación  
Universidad de Concepción

## **Acknowledgments**

I would like to express my gratitude to my thesis advisor, Dr. Claudio Díaz Larenas, whose precious guidance made possible the final outcome of this study. Dr. Díaz was a great support in this time of pandemic where everything became more complex and difficult.

My special thanks to my family, whose endless patience, love and support were essential for this stage of my professional development.

I would also like to thanks to the master's professors for sharing their valuable knowledge throughout the programme and their impact on my professional development.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acronyms		04
List of figures		05
Abstract		06
<b>CHAPTER I: Introduction</b>		
1.1	Background information	08
1.2	Problem identification	08
1.3	Research aims	09
<b>CHAPTER II: Conceptual framework</b>		
2.1	Assessing listening skills	11
2.1.1	Listening skill	11
2.1.2	Assessing listening skills to young learners	12
2.2	The use of technology in the classroom	13
2.2.1	Technology to teach English	13
2.2.2	The use of Quizizz in the classroom	15
2.2.3	Young English language learners	16
2.2.4	How to teach descriptive adjectives	17
<b>CHAPTER III: Method</b>		
3.1	Type of research	20
3.2	Description of the participant	20
3.3	Research question and objectives	20

3.3.1	Research question	20
3.3.2	Research objectives	20
3.3.2.1	General objectives	20
3.3.2.2	Specific objectives	20
3.4	Research problem	21
3.5	Stages of the action research study	21
3.6	Data collection techniques	27
3.6.1	Observation checklist	27
3.6.2	Likert scale	27
3.6.3	Multiple choice task	28
3.7	Data analysis techniques	28
3.7.1	SO1	28
3.7.2	SO2	28

#### **CHAPTER IV: Findings**

4.1	SO1: To assess the participant's listening skill for identifying descriptive adjectives using Quizizz platform	30
4.1.1	Finding about the observation checklist	30
4.1.2	Finding about the multiple choice tasks	34
4.2	SO2: To identify the participant's perceptions about the Quizizz platform	36
4.2.1	Findings about the Likert scale results	36

## **CHAPRET V: Discussions**

5.1	Examining the results	43
5.1.1	In relation to the SO1	43
5.1.2	In relation to the SO2	44
5.2	Limitations and implications	45

## **CHAPTER VI: Conclusion**

6.1	Summary of the main findings	48
6.2	Personal reflection	49
6.3	Recommendations	49

## **CHAPTER VII: References** 51

## **APPENDICES**

### **INSTRUMENTS**

A.1	Observation checklist	56
A.2	Likert scale	58
A.3	Multiple choice task	60

### **LIST OF ACRONYMS**

EFL: English as a foreign language

ESL: English as a second language

SO: Specific objective

ICTs: Information communication technologies

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1	Results of each session	30
Figure 2	N°s of time the words were identified by the participant	31
Figure 3	Global score for each word	31
Figure 4	Correct/incorrect answers for identified word	32
Figure 5	Mean scores and standard derivations	33
Figure 6	Score for each word	33
Figure 7	Score for each session	34
Figure 8	Score for item 1 and 2 in all the sessions	34
Figure 9	Session 1 score	35
Figure 10	Session 2 score	35
Figure 11	Session 3 score	35
Figure 12	Session 4 score	35
Figure 13	Session 5 score	36
Figure 14	Responses from the level of agreement	37
Figure 15	General score of each level of agreement	37
Figure 16	Answers of the three Likert scale	38
Figure 17	Positive and negative perceptions	38
Figure 18	Points of Likert scale applications	39
Figure 19	Initial session	39
Figure 20	Middle session	40
Figure 21	Final session	40

## **Abstract**

Poor use of vocabulary in learners is common when they use English to express their ideas. The use of technology to motivate students learning is an ally for teachers when they want to innovate and develop new strategies. The aim of this action research study is to assess the effectiveness of using the Quizizz online platform to improve one participant's listening skill to recognize descriptive adjectives. Participant was one English language learner from an A1 level in a semi private school from Concepción, Chile. During 5 sessions he used and played on the Quizizz platform, there he listened to words, simple phrases and complex sentences and he had to choose the correct picture that represented the descriptive adjectives that he had heard. To identify and analyze the results at Quizizz platform, it was used an observation checklist, then at the end of each session it was used a multiple choice task with similar questions to Quizizz. To identify the participant's perception about Quizizz platform it was used a Likert scale. The findings showed that the use of the platform didn't contribute to the participant's improvement of his listening skill related to descriptive adjectives. In addition, the participant perceived the Quizizz platform positively. For these reasons the Quizizz platform is recommended to be used for educational purposes.

**Keywords:** Quizizz, descriptive adjectives, listening skill.

## **Resumen**

El uso deficiente del vocabulario en los estudiantes pequeños es común cuando usan inglés para expresar sus ideas. El uso de tecnología para motivar el aprendizaje de los estudiantes es un aliado para los docentes cuando quieren innovar y desarrollar nuevas estrategias. El objetivo de este estudio de investigación-acción es evaluar la efectividad del uso de la plataforma en línea Quizizz para mejorar la habilidad de escucha de un participante para reconocer adjetivos descriptivos. El participante fue solo un estudiante de inglés de nivel A1 de una escuela semi privada de la ciudad de Concepción, Chile. Durante 5 sesiones se utilizó y jugó en la plataforma Quizizz, allí escuchó palabras, frases, oraciones complejas y tuvo que elegir la imagen correcta que representaba el adjetivo descriptivo que había escuchado. Para conocer y analizar los resultados de la plataforma Quizizz se utilizó una checklist de observación, luego al final de cada sesión se utilizó una tarea de opción múltiple con preguntas similares a las del Quizizz. Para identificar la percepción del participante sobre la plataforma se utilizó una escala Likert. Los hallazgos mostraron que el uso de la plataforma no contribuyó a que el participante mejorara su habilidad de escuchar en relación a los adjetivos descriptivos. Además, el participante percibió positivamente la plataforma. Por estas razones, se recomienda utilizar Quizizz con fines educativos.

**Palabras clave:** Quizizz, adjetivos descriptivos, habilidad de la escucha.

# **CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION**

## **CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Background information**

As a bilingual school Colegio Almondale Lomas follows the Chilean Ministry of Education study program for all the students, which state that all learners of English as a Second Language (ESL) should develop the four language skills to achieve the goals according the school annual plan.

### **1.2 Problem identification**

In bilingual schools and in schools with a bilingual plan, young learners need a special methodology to learn, considering their age, their way of learning and their interests. One important point to consider is the fact that young learners need to be motivated and interested in the learning when acquiring a second language. According to McKay (2006, pp. 6-14) children are in constant gradual development, continuing to learn through concrete experiences, what can be called 'learn by doing'. Some of the considerations are these strategies that are described by Cameron (2001), who claimed that tasks for children should have coherence and unity, meaning and purpose, a clear language-learning goal, a beginning and an end, and they should involve the learners actively. Young learners demonstrate a better level of progress in their learning process when they are motivated. Halliwell (1992) mentions the role of play in early childhood as part of the developmental process, and emphasizes the importance of balancing enjoyment with cognitive engagement in the task.

I have observed that most of the students learn isolated words or vocabulary words according to the unit they are studying in English language classes, for that reason when they have to write or speak they do not have enough vocabulary to use, they need new words to describe a person, a place or an object. On the other hand, I have observed that the listening skill is not well recognized for the students like a way of learning, and they do not become aware of how they learn through the listening skill.

Another point to consider is that students feel motivated to learn when they are using a device, because they feel they are playing, they feel enthusiastic and learn more and the results of their learning are more meaningful. In addition, when students use a textbook, they look bored, and the results are students learning few words. Also, in the educational context of this year 2020 where this study took place; there are same factors that influence upon students' learning process because of the pandemic of Covid-19.

In response to the problem previously identified, the following study aims at assessing the use of a platform where students can learn English by playing. QUIZZZ is a free web platform that gives access to teachers to many ready-made learning quizzes or creating games. For this reason, I decided to create games on the Quizizz platform, to improve the listening skills by using this technological tool. The idea is that by using Quizizz, the student will listen to a sentence and he has to match the correct alternative (from four). I included descriptive adjectives related to people's characteristics, objects and places. I consider that this platform can be useful later with other topics, since it is simple to work with and easy to use by the students too.

Being more specific, this study used this platform to help my younger son to learn new vocabulary related to descriptive adjectives. It intended to practice, and to reinforce his listening skill during the sessions. It intended to make the participant feel he is playing during the learning process.

The problems to increase the student's vocabulary is a necessity of many places, now having this platform as an alternative, the students have the opportunity to learn more vocabulary than just the exposure to the classbook. Finally, I can say that finding a motivated alternative task for young learners is always a good option, because we can almost ensure the success in class development.

### **1.3 Aims**

#### **General Objective**

To assess the effectiveness of using the Quizizz platform to improve a learner listening skill to recognize descriptive adjectives related to people physical characteristics.

#### **Specific objectives**

- To assess the participant's listening skills for identifying descriptive adjectives using the Quizizz platform.
- To identify the participant's perceptions about the Quizizz platform.

## **CHAPTER II: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK**

## CHAPTER II: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

The conceptual framework that supports this research has two relevant perspectives:

-The first one is *assessing listening skill* and it considers two main ideas

- Listening skill
- Assessing listening skill to young learners

-The second perspective is *the use of technology in the classroom* and it considers four ideas

- Technology to teach English
- The use of Quizizz in the classroom
- Young English language learners
- How to teach descriptive adjectives

### 2.1 Assessing listening skill

#### 2.1.1 The listening skill

Listening has been defined by many researchers. Hamouda (2013) defined listening as the ability to recognize and understand what others are telling, and it includes understanding a speaker's pronunciation, the speaker's grammar and vocabulary and obviously understanding of meaning.

According to Hwaider (2017), listening is not only the most important language skill which is overused by people in real life situations, but also a fundamental part of the process of second language learning. For that reason, Hwaider (2017) considers that training in listening comprehension is necessary to assist students to make the transition from English language classroom to real language more easily and effectively. In other words, it has a vital role in the development of general communication skills and the English language competence.

Lynch & Mendelsohn (2008) mention that listening involves making sense of spoken language, normally accompanied by other sounds and visual input, with the help of our relevant prior knowledge and the context in which we are listening. Rather than thinking of listening as a single process, it is more accurate to conceive it as a bundle of related processes- recognition of the sounds uttered by the speaker, perception of intonation patterns showing information focus, interpretation of the relevance of what is being said to the current topic and soon. Active listening is also an interpretative process. Listening used to be thought of as the exact decoding of the message.

We can divide listening into two main modes: one - way listening and two- way (reciprocal or interactional) listening. These modes intersect two principal functions of language: *transaction* and *interaction*( Brown & Yule,

1993). Transaction has as its main purpose that transfer of information, while the primary function of interaction, is the maintenance of social relation. While it is true, particularly in speech, that virtually all communications involve elements of both, in most situations one of the two purposes is dominant.

Clearly we can claim that listening is a process, it is an unaware process specially in our own language. Also we have the opportunity to listen to only ones, and have to do so in real time. Active listening is also an interpretive process. Listening used to be thought of as the exact decoding of the message, in fact listening involves subtle interpretations (Lynch & Mendelsohn, 2010).

### **2.1.2 Assessing listening skill to young learners**

Listening has often been described as the *Cinderella* skill (Flowerdent & Miller, 2005), since it is the language skill most teachers take for granted, and the skill many students spend less time on actively developing. The impression, for both students and teachers, is that listening is a language skill that can take care of itself (O'Sullivan, Stoyhoff, Combe & Davison, 2012).

Also, these authors claim that for the past thirty years, researchers have demonstrated that good listening skills are fundamental to the developmental of the other language skills and the ability to develop good listening tests is important for the *washback* effects this has on teaching. The authors also add that developing valid and reliable listening language tests is a complex process. This is because the process of listening is hidden from the testers so the way they measure the ability to handle spoken text is more demanding. The final idea that these authors affirm is that communicative approach offers the most opportunities for learners to demonstrate their comprehension ability.

On the other hand, if you need to assess your students' listening skills, there are a number of factors you need to consider. These include considering the participants and their needs, the kind of information you want to know about their listening skills and the most appropriate ways to assess these skills so that your test is appropriate and accurately scored. Mead & Donald (1985) helped us to understand the steps and the way to assess listening in young learners.

Listening tests typically resemble reading comprehension tests except that the student listens to a passage instead of reading it. The student then answers multiple-choice questions that address various levels of literal and inferential comprehension. Important elements in all listening tests are (1) the listening stimuli, (2) the questions, and (3) the test environment.

The listening stimuli should represent typical oral language, and not consist of simply the oral reading of passages designed to be written material. The material should model the language that students might typically be expected to hear in the classroom, in various media, or in conversations. Since listening performance is strongly influenced by motivation and memory, the passages should be interesting and relatively short. To ensure fairness, topics should be grounded in experience common to all students, irrespective of sex and geographic, socioeconomic, or racial/ethnic background.

In regard to questions, multiple-choice items should focus on the most important aspects of the passage -- not trivial details -- and should measure skills from a particular domain. Answers designated as correct should be derived from the passage, without reliance on the student's prior knowledge or experience. Questions and response choices should meet accepted psychometric standards for multiple-choice questions.

An alternative to the multiple-choice test is a performance test that requires students to select a picture or actually perform a task based on oral instruction. For example, students might hear a description of several geometric figures and choose pictures that match the description, or they might be given a map and instructed to trace a route that is described orally.

The testing environment for listening assessment should be free of external distractions. If stimuli are presented from a tape, the sound quality should be excellent. If stimuli are presented by a test administrator, the material should be presented clearly, with appropriate volume and rate of speaking (Mead & Donald, 1985).

## **2.2 The use of technology in the classroom**

### **2.2.1 Technology to teach English**

According to Chavez & Jaramillo 2016, there is agreement on the contribution of technology to teaching and learning. Given its potential, we can find a number of features that promote learning as the possibility of establishing a two-way communication, the potential of the interaction between teachers and students, the ability to organize, adapt and be flexible with information based on the needs and requirements of students. Notwithstanding, there's not much information on the effects of using technology in the teaching and learning process or the elements we should consider analyzing the use of technology in teaching and learning.

Chavez & Jaramillo (2016) argue that since computers started to be introduced in language learning (and in education in general) people have rightly asked whether the investment we are making in these technologies gives us value. As

digital technologies have taken a hold in society in general, this particular question is not asked quite so often, but it is still important to make sure that the technologies that we have available are used effectively.

People are always tempted to try to make an argument for technology having an impact on the development of pedagogy and, in many cases, we can see that the use of technology has enabled teachers to re-think what they are doing. We also see people trying to populate this domain by talking about notions like the 'flipped classroom', ostensibly a methodology that sees input as occurring at 'home' and physical classrooms being used as spaces to explore what has been presented in the input.

This is far from being a new idea, but these agendas are pushed for a while and then disappear again. What is a contender for a methodology that is central to the world of technology and language learning is that of blended learning. Motteram & Sharma (2009) see this methodology still being developed, but when handled best, it is the most likely candidate for a starting point for getting teachers to work with technology in their practice. It is still the case that most teachers work in physical classrooms and looking at ways that these spaces can be augmented with digital technologies, is a very good starting point. An extended classroom is one that allows learners to engage in material beyond the regular class period, so while a blended classroom is looking at ways that an activity might be enhanced by a technology, they also see technologies being used to make it possible to cover areas of the curriculum that there is just not enough time for in the busy world of formal education, particularly in primary and secondary schools.

Thorne & Reinhardt (2008) have also proposed the notion of 'bridging activities', which simplistically is about getting learners to talk about how learners are using technology in their 'out of class lives' in the classroom. Thorne & Reinhardt (2008) were interested in fun fiction, the sort of narrative material that is created around digital gaming. What they propose is that teachers encourage learners to bring this activity into the classroom with them and they use it as the foundations of lessons. Motteram (2013) explored this idea of the transformations of language learning through technology.

Amir (2018) mentions that the benefits of using ICT for developing listening skills are conditioned with its use, it is necessary that appropriate tools of ICT be used according to the level and background of the class purposes to make use of ICT effective for developing language skills (Amir, 2018). Amir (2018) argues that there is a wide range of tools available for developing listening skill competency in foreign language. All these tools can be used according to learners' choice, learning environment and learning setups. He suggests that teachers should choose these tools wisely considering various aspects and levels of learners in isolation or with combination of other tools. Using ICT tools makes task of learning and teaching listening comprehension and developing competency in foreign language listening easier as these tools can be used in as well as out of the classroom.

### **2.2.2 The use of Quizizz in the classroom**

Basuki & Hidayati (2019) claim that currently, Quizizz is one of the classroom activities that cannot be abandoned; it is a competition through a game in which the students answer questions given by the teachers. In today's digital age, the advancement of Information and Communicative Technology (TIC) has shifted the teaching –learning paradigm rapidly. In this way, e-learning has emerged from traditional learning Kahoot and Quizizz are kinds of e-learning web-apps commonly used in conducting online quizzes in daily teaching –learning practices in the classroom.

Also Basuki & Hidayadi (2019) mention that Quizizz is one of many awesome tools for class quiz games. Quizizz is as a fun multiplayer game platform or application classroom activity for quiz-games in which students can become a controller of their pace on game classroom activity. Quizizz provides students' answer pace to appear on each student's screen, so they can answer questions at their own pace and review their answer at the end. Research on online quizzes as a teaching and assessment tool strictly concludes that the combination of quizzes with instructional activities is favourable. All this helps students appertain to quiz appreciation, learning effort, learning motivation, activities involvement and academic achievement.

Quizizz is a multiplayer quiz game similar to Kahoot. It allows people to modify and customize the questions to create our own competitions or exams in a fun way. The teacher generates the questions, gives a game and the students enter a page that indicates the web to enter a code and play from their device or computer.

Quizizz is a game-based educational app, which brings multiplayeractivities to classrooms and makes in-class exercises interactive and fun. Using Quizizz, students can do in-class exercises on their electronic devices. Unlike other educational apps, Quizizz has game characteristics like avatars, themes, memes and music, which are entertaining in the learning process. Quizizz also allows students to compete with each other and motivates students to study. Students take the quiz at the same time in class and see their live ranking on the leader board. Instructors can monitor the process and download the report when the quiz is finished to evaluate students' performance. Using this app in the accounting classroom helps stimulate students' interest and improve students' engagement (Zaho,2019).

Zhao (2019) tells about prior studies that describe different ways of applying Quizizz.

- Quizizz can be used to organize classroom activities and prepare tests (Dean, 2017; Mac Namara& Murphy, 2017).

- Quizizz can also be used in flipped class lectures (Porcaro, Jackson, McLaughlin, & O'Malley, 2016; Dayal, Green, & Browne, 2016).
- Boulden, Hurt, & Richardson (2017) use Quizizz and other educational apps to help students recognize the difference between productive and non-productive questions.
- Suo, Suo, & Zalika (2018) apply Quizizz in the Arabic classroom and find that it is effective to enhance students' learning as a game-based learning tool.
- Quizizz is also applied in Physics courses enrolled by engineering students and is found to be effective in increasing learning outcomes and decreasing anxiety (Aşıksoy & Sorakin, 2018).
- Hamilton-Hankins (2017) introduce Quizizz in an English Language Arts Classroom and find it has positive impact on student engagement.
- Chaiyo & Nokham (2017) find differences in students' perception of using different educational apps. Students respond more positively when using Kahoot and Quizizz than using Google forms.
- Boulden et al. (2017) find that students are more focused and attentive to the quiz when using Quizizz.

### **2.2.3 Young English language learners.**

The label *young English language learners* have been used mainly for primary/ elementary school age children who learn English as a second or foreign language. However, it sometimes encompasses adolescents in lower secondary/ middle school contexts, as well as very young learners in early years or kindergarten settings. The age range designated by the label of 'young learners' may vary between three and sixteen (Garton & Copland, 2019).

In 2001 Cameron asked, what is different about teaching a foreign language to children, in contrast to teaching adults or adolescents? Some differences are immediately obvious: children are often more enthusiastic and lively as learners. They want to please the teacher rather than their peer group. They will have a go at an activity even when they don't quite understand why or how. However, they also lose interest more quickly and are less able to keep themselves motivated on tasks they find difficult. Children do not find it as easy to use language to talk about language; in other words, they do not have the same access as older learners to meet a language that teachers can use to explain about grammar or discourse.

Children often seem less embarrassed than adults at talking in a new language, and their lack of inhibition seems to help them get a more native-like accent. But these are generalisations which hide the details of different children, and of the

skills involved in teaching them. There is a need to unpack the generalisations to find out what lies underneath as characteristics of children as language learners. We will find that important differences do arise from the linguistic, psychological and social development of the learners, and that, as a result, we need to adjust the way we think about the language we teach and the classroom activities we use. Although conventional language teaching terms like 'grammar' and 'listening' are used in connection with the young learner classroom, understanding of what these mean *to the children who are learning them*, may need to differ from how they are understood in mainstream language teaching.

On the other hand, Stakanova (2014) explains the psychological concepts of EFL learning teaching techniques and they are quite different; from those based from suggestology to cognitive ones. It is impossible to discuss cognitive concepts of language acquisition without reference to Gardner (2011) and his noteworthy and influential study of multiple intelligences.

- Linguistic intelligence is revealed through specially designed grammar and vocabulary exercises based on pair work in dialogues.
- Visual intelligence is developed when students do exercises supported by pictures or use flash-cards.
- Musical- rhythmical intelligence is activated when children listen to and imitate intonation and rhythm.
- Logical- mathematical intelligence is based on solving problems.
- Bodily- kinaesthetic intelligence expresses itself in physical activities and movement.
- Interpersonal intelligence is necessary in pair group work, games and team activities.
- Interpersonal intelligence is based on silent individual work and self-reflection.

#### **2.2.4 How to teach descriptive adjectives**

According to the Cambridge dictionary of English grammar, an adjective is defined as: "a word that describes a noun or a pronoun" (2013).

According to Yagcioglu (2019) in her study, she investigated teaching adjectives and adverbs in the classes where English is taught as a second or as a third language. In each, adjectives and adverbs were very important subjects in English language education. If the students who learn English as a second or a third language can use adjectives and adverbs effectively, they can be more successful in understanding the articles they read. They can also be more

successful in business life. Because people can speak English effectively and fluently can find better jobs.

Although there is not much research on this topic, Rhinehart Neas (2011) claims that descriptive adjectives describe a person, place, or thing. The placement of these words in a sentence confuses many ESL students. Learning to use individual words, as descriptive adjectives, to give more details to a topic enriches students' language skills. She also adds that English learners often know more words than they use.

Stuart (2017) mentions in his article that adjectives are descriptive words that modify nouns and pronouns. They usually come before the noun they modify and can be grouped together with several other adjectives to accurately describe something. ESL students need to learn adjectives in order to have a reasonable understanding of the English language. Fortunately, the internet provides several resources for teaching adjectives.

## **CHAPTER III: METHOD**

### **3.1 Type of research**

The sphere of this study is framed in a participatory action research design, since I noticed the need of the participant to develop the listening skill and also the need of vocabulary acquisition through the use of a technological device. The participant was part of an experience where he improved a specific problem of the English learning process.

The purpose of undertaking action research is to bring about change in specific contexts, as Parkin (2009) describes it. As Heigham & Croker (2009) mentioned, "the main point of action research is to find out more about what is going on in your own local context in order to change or improve current practice in that situation" (p.117) .

### **3.2 Participant**

Only one participant was considered for this action research. The participant was selected because of his interest in playing video games and also because he usually interacts in educational online platforms. Among the selection criteria of the sample, I included this student for his age, his positive attitude towards work and his handling of online games. He has internet access at home. Also he needs to improve his listening skill because his marks at school are low.

This research took place in the participant's home, where he has internet access. The participant is an A1 level of English student according to the Council of Europe, he is a beginner English student and he is 10 years old. He attends a semi private school where he had English classes five days a week. His school has an English plan from Playgroup to 5<sup>th</sup> grade, for that reason the participant was able to follow the steps of the mini interventions successfully.

### **3.3 Research question and objectives**

#### **3.3.1. Research question**

How does the use of Quizizz platform improve the participant's listening skill to identify descriptive adjectives?

#### **3.3.2. Research objectives**

3.3.2.1. The general objective is:

-To assess the effectiveness of using the Quizizz online platform to improve the participant's listening skill to recognize descriptive adjectives.

3.3.2.2 The specific objectives are:

-To assess the participant's listening skill for identifying descriptive adjectives using the Quizizz platform.

-To identify the participant's perceptions about the Quizizz platform.

### 3.4 Research problem

In regular conversations with the participant, I noticed that he has some problems when communicating something, specifically when he wants to describe objects, persons and places. I considered teaching him some descriptive adjectives to help him improve his English knowledge. For this reason, I tried to find a way to help him, considering that he likes to use technological devices and also because he always wants to improve in all aspects.

### 3.5 Stages of the action research study

Date	Objectives of the intervention	Activities and procedures	Time	Materials	Assessment	Research objectives
May 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2020	1. At the end of the session the participant will be able to use vocabulary about descriptive adjectives, listening <b>isolated words</b> .	<p>The vocabulary for teaching during all the lessons are: BEAUTIFUL, BRAVE, DANGEROUS, DIRTY, DRY, FAST, OLD, and NEW.</p> <hr/> <p>REVIEW</p> <p>-The researcher asks the student what he knows about words like: BIG-SMALL- DIRTY-CLEAN- HAPPY – SAD using simple examples like:</p> <p><i>I feel sad, a small house, the dirty face.</i></p> <p>All of them are</p>	40 minutes	<p>-Internet</p> <p>-a tablet</p> <p>-multiple choice task printed</p> <p>-pencil</p> <p>-headphones</p> <p>-1 observation checklist printed</p> <p>-5 games at Quizizz platform already created</p> <p>- a Likert scale related to Quizizz platform</p>	<p>1-Observation Checklist.</p> <p>2-Multiple-choice task.</p> <p>3-Likert scale.</p>	<p>1. To assess the participant's listening skill for identifying descriptive adjectives using Quizizz platform.</p> <p>2. To identify the participant's perception about Quizizz platform.</p>

		<p>examples that will help to understand what “Descriptive Adjectives” are. Also the researcher explains that the descriptive objectives help to describe people, feelings, objects and places, etc.</p> <p>PRE – LISTENING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The researcher will give the instructions orally to the participant related to the steps to play the game at the Quizizz platform.</li> <li>-The participant opens the game using a number code.</li> </ul> <p>WHILE LISTENING</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-The participant plays the game listening isolated words at the Quizizz Platform.</li> <li>-The participant answers the</li> </ul>				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

		<p>game questions and the researcher fills in the observation checklist.</p> <p>POST LISTENING</p> <p>-The participant answers the multiple choice task with just 2 questions.</p> <p>-The participant answers a Likert scale giving his opinion about the use of Quizizz platform.</p>				
<p><b>May, 13<sup>th</sup> 2020</b></p>	<p>1. At the end of the session the participant will be able to use descriptive adjectives, listening <b>simple phrases</b>.</p>	<p>PRE – LISTENING</p> <p>-The participant opens the game using a number code</p> <p>WHILE LISTENING</p> <p>-The participant plays the game listening simple phrases at the Quizizz Platform.</p> <p>-The participant answers the game</p>	<p>40 minutes</p>	<p>-internet</p> <p>-a tablet or a cell phone</p> <p>-5 multiple choice task printed</p> <p>-pencil</p> <p>-headphones</p> <p>-1 observation checklist printed</p> <p>-5 games at Quizizz platform already created</p>	<p>1- Observation checklist.</p> <p>2- Multiple choice task.</p>	<p>1. To assess the participant's listening skill for identifying descriptive adjectives using Quizizz platform</p> <p>2. To identify the participant's perception about Quizizz platform.</p>

		<p>questions and the researcher fills in the observation checklist.</p> <p>POST LISTENING</p> <p>-The participant answers the multiple choice task with just 2 questions.</p>				
<p><b>May, 15<sup>th</sup> 2020</b></p>	<p>1. At the end of the session the participant will be able to use descriptive adjectives, listening <b>simple phrases</b>.</p>	<p>PRE – LISTENING</p> <p>-The participant opens the game using a number code.</p> <p>WHILE LISTENING</p> <p>-The participant plays the game listening simple phrases at the Quizizz Platform.</p> <p>-The participant answers the game questions and the researcher fills in the observation checklist.</p> <p>POST</p>	<p>40 minutes</p>	<p>-internet</p> <p>-a tablet or a cell phone</p> <p>- multiple choice task printed</p> <p>-pencil</p> <p>-headphones</p> <p>-1 observation checklist printed</p> <p>-5 games at Quizizz platform already created</p> <p>- a Likert scale related to Quizizz platform</p>	<p>1- Observation checklist</p> <p>2- Multiple choice task.</p> <p>3- Likert scale</p>	<p>1. To assess the participant's listening skill for identifying descriptive adjectives using Quizizz platform</p> <p>2. To identify the participant's perception about Quizizz platform.</p>

		<p>LISTENING</p> <p>-The participant answers the multiple choice task with just 2 questions.</p> <p>-The participant answers a Likert scale giving his opinion about the use of Quizizz platform.</p>				
<p><b>May,</b> <b>18<sup>th</sup> 2020</b></p>	<p>1. At the end of the session the participant will be able to use vocabulary about descriptive adjectives, listening <b>complex sentences</b></p>	<p>PRE – LISTENING</p> <p>-The student opens the game using a number code.</p> <p>WHILE LISTENING</p> <p>-The student plays the game listening complex sentences at the Quizizz Platform.</p> <p>-The participant answers the game questions and the researcher fills in the observation checklist.</p> <p>POST LISTENING</p> <p>-The participant</p>	<p>40 minutes</p>	<p>-internet</p> <p>-a tablet or a cell phone</p> <p>-multiple choice task printed - pencil</p> <p>-headphones</p> <p>-1 observation checklist printed</p> <p>-5 games at Quizizz platform already created</p>	<p>1- ObservationCheck list.</p> <p>2- Multiplechoicetask</p>	<p>1. To assess the participant's listening skill for identifying descriptive adjectives using Quizizz platform</p> <p>2. To identify the participant's perception about Quizizz platform.</p>

		answers the multiple choice task with just 2 questions.				
<b>May, 20th 2020</b>	1. At the end of the session the participant will be able to use vocabulary about descriptive adjectives, listening <b>complex sentences.</b>	<p>PRE – LISTENING</p> <p>-The participant opens the game using a number code.</p> <p>WHILE LISTENING</p> <p>-The participant plays the game listening complex sentences at the Quizizz Platform.</p> <p>-The participant answers the game questions and the researcher fills in the observation checklist.</p> <p>POST LISTENING</p> <p>-The participant answers the multiple choice task with just 2 questions.</p> <p>-The participant answers a</p>	40 minutes	<p>-internet</p> <p>-a tablet or a cell phone</p> <p>-5 multiple choice task printed</p> <p>-pencil</p> <p>- Headphones</p> <p>-1 observation checklist printed</p> <p>-5 games at Quizizz platform already created</p> <p>- a Likert scale related to Quizizz platform</p>	<p>1- Observation Checklist.</p> <p>2- Multiple choice task</p> <p>3- Likert scale.</p>	<p>1. To assess the participant's listening skill for identifying descriptive adjectives using Quizizz platform</p> <p>2. To identify the participant's perception about Quizizz platform.</p>

		Likert scale giving his opinion about the use of Quizizz platform.				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

### 3.6 Data collection techniques

Three data collection techniques were used:

#### 3.6.1 Observation checklist

An observation checklist is a list of things that an observer looks at when observing a class. Observation checklists not only give an observer a structure and framework for an observation, but also serve a contract of understanding with the teacher, who may, as a result, be more comfortable, and will get specific feedback on aspects of the class.

While the participant was playing the game at the Quizizz Platform, the researcher filled in the Observation Checklist according to the right or wrong answers he had at the Quizizz game (See Appendix A).

And at the end of each session we can see the total score, and after all the intervention sessions we can see the score for each learned word. With all this information, I checked whether or not the student increased his listening understanding of the adjectives.

#### 3.6.2 Likert scale

The Likert Scale is a popular survey tool that is used in educational research, especially in the field of special education. It was invented by Rensis Likert, an educator and psychologist, who advocated an employee-centred organization.

I used a Likert Scale at the end of three intervention sessions (first one, the middle one, and the last one) using Quizizz. I asked the student to give his opinion about the platform and the game. By using a Likert Scale, the participant answered marking an X next to the “face” that represents his opinion of the five statements.

The researcher reads the statement to the participant to make them clear to him. (See Appendix A.2).

### **3.6.3 Multiple choice tasks**

Multiple choice tasks can be useful for formative assessment and to stimulate students' active and self-managed learning. They improve students' learning performance and their perceptions of the quality of their learning experience (Velan, 2008).

After each intervention sessions the researcher provided two questions that the student answered in a written form. The researcher read the sentence to the student. Here the student had to circle the correct alternative. All this in order to know if the student could understand the meaning of the adjectives (See Appendix C).

### **3.7 Data analysis techniques**

At the following chapter I will analyze the data collected considering the amount of correct answers and mistakes in the participant's work, given during the games on the platform, all the data was registered in the observation checklist. On the other hand, I will also consider analysing the answers of the multiple choice tasks by the participant. With this intention, I will get the mean score and standard deviation for each one of games and the multiple choice tasks.

Related to the analysis of the participant' perception about the use of the platform, I will analyze the answers given by the participant at first, third and last session of this intervention. For this purpose, I will get the global mean score and standard deviation of the results.

## **CHAPTER IV: FINDINGS**

## CHAPTER IV: FINDINGS

Chapter four refers to analysis of the research data that was collected from the interaction with the student during the sessions. The data was analyzed separately according to the specific objectives of this study.

### 4.1. Specific Objective 1: To assess the participant's listening skills for identifying descriptive adjectives using the Quizizz platform.

To analyze this objective two instruments were applied in the intervention: an observation checklist and a multiple choice task. Both of them were applied in each one of the five sessions.

#### 4.1.1. Findings about the observation checklist

The observation checklist contains the answers for each Quizizz game; these are the eight descriptive adjectives that were listened in the five sessions. In each of the five Quizizz sessions the participant was exposed to the same eight adjectives, in all the sessions. This means that the participant worked with the same eight adjectives five different times. During the first session the adjectives were presented at a word level, during the second and third sessions, they were presented at the phrase level, and at the fourth and fifth sessions they were presented at a sentence level. The grade of difficulty went from less to more.


Figure 1 shows the participant's percentage result according to each one of the sessions. It is observed that in the first session the lowest result was obtained (75 %) although the difficulty was less. In three sessions the results were 87.5%, and in the middle session 100% was obtained. It is observed that there is not a steady increase from the beginning to the end of the sessions, but rather, an uneven result.

Session 1	Session 2	Session 3	Session 4	Session 5
75%	87,5%	100%	87,5%	87,5%

**Figure 1: Results of each session.**

It is observed in Figure 2 how many times the eight descriptive objectives were identified correctly by the participant in the listening game on the Quizizz platform. It is shown that the curve descends in the middle of the graph.

The total of sessions was five; some words were identified the five times, but some others just four times, and one of the words only once.



**Figure 2: Number of times the words were identified by the participant**

For the observation checklist two points were assigned for each correct answer, giving a total 10 maximum point per word at the end of all the sessions. It is observed in Figure 3 that the highest score obtained was 10 points; five words were answered correctly in all the sessions (*beautiful, brave, fast, old* and *new*), for that reason they obtained ten points each. The lowest score obtained was four points, in just one adjective (*dirty*), that means it was identified only twice during the intervention. The student couldn't identify it the necessary times to consider that he could identify the concept (See Figure 2 below). The other two words (*dangerous, dry*) were identified four times, for this, they obtained eight points.

Word	Global Score
Word 1	10
Word 2	10
Word 3	8
Word 4	4
Word 5	8
Word 6	10
Word 7	10
Word 8	10

**Figure 3: Global score for each word**

In Figure 3 it is observed the correct and incorrect answers by words and sessions, here is clear that the majority of the answers are correct, the 87,5% of

all the answers are correct, and the 12,5 % of the answers are incorrect. Below are the mean scores and standard deviations of the five sessions:

Session 1: M. Score: 3,750 S.D: 2,315

Session 2: M. Score: 4,375 S.D: 1,768

Session 3: M. Score: 5 S.D: 0

Session 4: M. Score: 4,375 S.D: 1,768

Session 5: M. Score: 4,375 S.D: 1,768

From the total of the results obtained, in relation to each word, the following results were obtained: M: 21,88, S.D: 5,30. Figure 4 below shows the number of times the word was regarded as correct.

Word	Session 1	Session 2	Session 3	Session 4	Session 5
Beautiful	correct	correct	correct	correct	correct
Brave	correct	correct	correct	correct	correct
Dangerous	correct	<b>incorrect</b>	correct	correct	correct
Dirty	<b>incorrect</b>	correct	correct	<b>incorrect</b>	<b>incorrect</b>
Dry	<b>incorrect</b>	correct	correct	correct	correct
Fast	correct	correct	correct	correct	correct
Old	correct	correct	correct	correct	correct
New	correct	correct	correct	correct	correct


**Figure 4: Correct / incorrect answers for identified word.**

In the following Figure we can see the total scores for all the descriptive words, and we can observe that in the third session all the words were identified, in the first session, six words were identified, in the second, fourth and fifth sessions seven words were identified. According to Figure 5, the mean score of all the eight words is 1,75. The means and standard deviations of the 5 sessions are:

	W1	W2	W3	W4	W5	W6	W7	W8	Total (8 words)
S.1	2	2	2	0	0	2	2	2	12
S.2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	14
S.3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	16
S.4	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	14
S.5	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	14
Mean score	2	2	1,6	0,8	1,6	2	2	2	1,75
SD	0	0	0,80	0,98	0,80	0	0	0	

**Figure 5: Mean scores and standard derivations**

According to Figure 6 below, we can observe that the lowest score was obtained in the first session (6 out of 8) and the highest score was obtained in the middle session (8 out of 8). The maximum score was 8 points.



**Figure 6: Score for each word.**

#### 4.1.2. Findings about the multiple choice tasks

Additionally, the participant responded two paper and pencil multiple choice questions at the end of each of the five sessions. The same eight adjectives that were used in the game in Quizizz were used in the multiple choice tasks.

During the first session the adjectives were presented at a word level, during the second and third sessions, they were at the phrase level, and at the fourth and fifth sessions they were at a sentence level. The degree of difficulty went from less to more.

They were statements similar to those presented in the Quizizz game. It must also be considered that there were only two questions in each application of the multiple choice tasks. These tasks increased in difficulty as time went by. The participant had to select a photo out of four choices, the researcher read the statement, and the participant listened and chose his answers. Figure 7 shows the results for each one of the sessions in the multiple choice tasks.

Session 1	Session 2	Session 3	Session 4	Session 5
100%	100%	100%	50%	50%

**Figure 7: Score for each session**

The results show that the greater the difficulty, the smaller the results since in the last two sessions the scores decreased. It could be because what the student had to hear was much more complex sentences.

For the multiple choice tasks, 2 points were assigned for each correct answer of each item. Figure 8 shows the results for item 1 and 2, according to the five sessions.

---

MCH	S.1	S.2	S.3	S.4	S.5
Item I	2	2	2	0	2
Item II	2	2	2	2	0

---

**Figure 8: Score for item 1 and 2 in all the sessions**

From Figure 9 to Figure 13 it is shown the results of each application of the multiple choice task, and it is also explained the difficulties that there were in each one. Likewise, in the use of the Quizizz game, the difficulty was increasing.

Multiple choice	Session 1
DANGEROUS	2
OLD	2

**Figure 9: Session 1 score**

In session 1, the participant obtained the total score, 4 points. These were the least difficult questions because he only had to listen to isolated descriptive words: **dangerous**, **old**. It is a word level of difficulty. (M. Score: 2/ S.D: 0).

Multiple choice	Session 2
DIRTY	2
NEW	2

**Figure 10: Session 2 score**

In session 2, the participant obtained the total score, 4 points. The participant listened to simple phrases: *This is a very **dirty** place- He wants a **new** pair of sneakers*. It was a phrase level of difficulty. (M. Score: 2/ S.D: 0).

Multiple choice	Session 3
DRY	2
BEAUTIFUL	2

**Figure 11: Session 3 score**

In session 3, the participant obtained the total score, 4 points. The participant listened to simple phrases: *When I get his hair **dry**, it is very happy-They are going to travel to a **beautiful** place for holidays* It was a phrase level of difficulty (M. Score:2 / S.D: 0).

Multiple choice	Session 4
FAST	0
BRAVE	2

**Figure 12: Session 4 score**

In session 4, the participant obtained 2 points, he recognized only 1 item. The participant listened to complex sentences: *She is riding very **fast**, now she feels self-confident. He told me that his sister is **brave** when climbing*. It was a

sentence level of difficulty. (M. Score: 1/ S.D: 1, 41).

Multiple choice	Session 5
DANGEROUS	2
OLD	0

**Figure 13: Session 5 score.**

In session 5, the participant obtained 2 points, he recognized only 1 item. Here the participant listened to complex sentences: *A **dangerous** sport must be supervised by an expert, and you must take precautions to be safe- The **old** car belongs to my grandfather, he doesn't want a new one.* It was a sentence level of difficulty. (M. Score: 1/ S.D: 1, 41).

The results show that there is a relation between identified and unidentified items, and the difficulty of identifying descriptive adjectives in a complex sentence. The participant was able to identify all the descriptive adjectives that he listened in isolated words and in simple sentences, but he could not identify two descriptive adjectives (*fast-old*) in complex sentences.

#### **4.2 Specific Objective 2: To identify the participant's perceptions about the Quizizz platform.**

In order to analyze if there were any changes in the student's participation after working with the intervention strategy, a Likert Scale was used.

##### **4.2.1 Findings about the Likert scale results**

The Likert scale served to identify the perceptions related to the use of the platform during the sessions. There were two dimensions, one related to vocabulary learning and the other to the use of the Quizizz platform itself. According to the results in the dimension related to vocabulary learning, the responses obtained were always the highest score. One of the findings is that the participant's perception is that he had the possibility of learning new vocabulary related to descriptive adjectives through the use of the Quizizz platform.


The Likert scale shows four levels of agreement, where two of them are positive (strongly agree- agree) and the other two are negative (disagree- strongly disagree). The researcher read the statements to the participant, and then he answered marking with an X his best alternative. The Likert scale was applied in three sessions, session 1, 3 and 5.

As shown in Figure 14, the results of the total number of responses obtained from the levels of agreements in general, it is observed that the results tended towards positive perceptions (10 out of a total of 15).

Strongly agree	5
Agree	5
Disagree	3
Strongly disagree	2

**Figure 14: Number of responses obtained from the levels of agreements**

Figure 15 shows the number of answers according to the level of agreement, we can see that the majority of the answers were positive, 5 were *strongly agree* and 5 were *agree*. For the negative answers, three of them were *disagree*, only 2 answers were for the *strongly disagree* statement.



**Figure 15: General score of each level of agreement**

The Likert scale was run at the end of three intervention sessions (first one, the middle one, and the last one), as can be seen below in Figure 16. The responses show that the data provided was highly variable as it shows dispersion, only in one statement did the trend follow in the three sessions, it was: *I learned new words*

		Session 1	Session 3	Session 5
1	I like this game	Agree	Disagree	Agree

2	It's an interesting game	Disagree	Disagree	Strongly agree
3	I want to share it with my friends	Agree	Strongly disagree	Strongly disagree
4	I learned new words	Strongly agree	Strongly agree	Strongly agree
5	I would like to use this game in other subjects.	Agree	Strongly agree	Agree

**Figure 16: Answers of the 3 Likert scale**

We can also analyze the result from the point of view of the positive and negative perceptions that the student had about the Quizizz game. This is why Figure 17 mentions the amount of positive and negative scores obtained when applying the Likert scale.

Positive Perception	Negative perception
Session 1: 4	Session 3: 3
Session 5: 4	

**Figure 17: Positive and negative perceptions**

In the three applications of the Likert scale, it can be seen that there were very different results in each session, and that the results, therefore, varied. To analyze the results, numbers were assigned to each level of agreement. Number 4 was assigned to the *Strongly agree* level of agreement, number 3 was assigned to the *Agree* level of agreement, number 2 was assigned to the *Disagree* level of agreement, and number 1 was assigned to the *Strongly disagree* level of agreement.

Figure 18 shows all the results in the Likert scale, it shows that the lowest score was obtained by statement 3 (5 points), and that the highest score was obtained by statement 4 (12 points).


Level of Statements:	S.1	S.3	S.5	total score
I like this game	3	2	3	8
It's an interesting game	2	2	4	8

I want to share it with my friends	3	1	1	5
I learned new words	4	4	4	12
I would like to use this game in other subjects.	3	4	3	10

**Figure 18: Points of Likert scale applications.**

Below are each of the Likert scale application sessions and their results. Figure 19 shows the results in session one, it shows a total score of 15 points. Here the graph curve shows stability within the parameters of points 2, 3, 4. The results of the M. Score: 3,0 and the standard deviation 0,707.

STATEMENT	SESSION.1
ST.1	3
ST.2	2
ST.3	3
ST.4	4
ST.5	3
<b>Total score</b>	<b>15</b>




**Figure 19: Initial session**

Figure 20 shows the result on session three, it shows a total score of 13 points. Here the graph curve shows an irregular trend. The results of the M. Score is 2,6 and the standard deviation 1,342.

STATEMENT	SESSION.3
ST.1	2
ST.2	2


ST.3	1
ST.4	4
ST.5	4
<b>Total score</b>	<b>13</b>



**Figure 20: Middle session**

Figure 21 shows the results on session 5, it shows a total score of 15 points. Here the graph curve shows an irregular trend. The results of the M. Score is 3,0 and the standard deviation 1,225.

STATEMENT	SESSION .5
ST.1	3
ST.2	4
ST.3	1
ST.4	4
ST.5	3
<b>Total score</b>	<b>15</b>



**Figure 21: Final session**

In general, the answers were irregular and in many cases they reflect the mood of the participant when applied the Likert scale. It was found that the positive level of agreement had more points than the negative ones. The middle session tends towards the negative level of agreement. The first and the last sessions tend to the positive level of agreement. In general, it was found that the participant had a positive perception about the use of Quizizz.

## **CHARTER V: DISCUSSIONS**

**CHARTER V: DISCUSSIONS**

In this chapter, the data obtained are discussed by each specific objective. Limitations and implications for further research are also included.

## **5.1.Examining the results**

Listening involves making sense of the spoken language accompanied by other sounds and visual input, with the help of our relevant prior knowledge of the context in which we are listening. We are not aware of the processes involved when listening – recognition of the sound uttered by the speaker, perception of intonation patterns showing information focus, interpretation of the relevance of what is being said to the current topic and so on (Schmitt, 2010).

Twenty years ago was unthinkable that children could learn through technology, and there were few investigations about it, nowadays we can find a variety of researchers interested in this topic (Edwards, 2015; Paaliologu,2016; Arnott, 2016).

Stephen & Edwards (2018) comment in their book “Young English learners in a digital age that “technologies may be viewed as threatening historically valued “developmentally appropriate” approaches towards learning and substituting virtual engagement for face interaction with adults and peers. Clearly this idea is ruled out since currently students are surrounded by technology, and it is a common theme among them.

The notion of *bridging activities* was proposed by Thorne & Reinhardt (2008), which simplistically is about getting learners to talk about how they are using the technology in their “out of class lives” during school classes. In this investigation the idea was that the participant could continue playing at home and could use the technology in terms of learning through a game, having a clear idea that the participant would use the English language.

### **5.1.1. In relation to SO1: To assess one learner’s listening skills for identifying descriptive adjectives using a game on Quizizz platform.**

According to the findings registered at chapter IV, and also related to the observation checklist results, it can be said that five of eight descriptive adjectives words were answered correctly in the five interventions. That could mean that the participant understood the concept immediately or that he already knew the meaning of the words (*beautiful, brave, dry, fast, old, and new*).

According to the results of each session, I can say that at the first session, the lowest result was obtained, probably, because the participant didnt know or didn’t remember the meaning of the eight descriptive adjectives. At the rest of the sessions, the results were more similar among them, with the third session standing out with the total score.

Hinkel (2006) argued that *listen and do* tasks, represent a flexible source of listening input for beginning learners or intermediate learners. Ellis (2003) mentioned that the content of tasks can be easily controlled in regard to their

linguistic and schematic variables, such as frequent occurrence of target syntactic and lexical structure in the context of a meaning-focused task, such as grammar constructions, words and phrases or conversational expressions. This is discussed in this action research, it was found that the participant had excellent responses when facing the Quizizz game and when answering the multiple choice tasks, since it was somewhat focused on the meaning of the descriptive adjectives words.

As this research was thought to assess a young learner in terms of his listening skill, the findings are also a reflection of an assessment process. Masters (2014) argued that there is only one key purpose of assessment, to find out individual learners' current standing in a learning domain.

There was a limitation that was unknown by the researcher, because it was difficult to identify exactly what the participant knew about descriptive adjectives. The researcher is not the participant's teacher at school, so it is unknown the specific level of knowledge the student had related to descriptive adjectives, maybe, it was necessary to have had more school background or to have done a diagnostic test, before starting this investigation.

According to the multiple choice results, I can say that there were better answers than the ones from the observation checklist. It could be because the participant is more used to answering paper and pencil questions; also, the kind of answers were similar to the Quizizz game. In this part of the evaluation, in the multiple choice questions, the words and phrases were said by the researcher, and the participant listened and then chose the answer.

#### **5.1.2. In relation to SO2: To identify one learner's opinion about Quizizz platform.**

The general opinion of the participant about this specific Quizizz game was positive, the results of the total number of responses obtained from the levels of agreements, in general, were positive, it is observed that the results tend towards positive perceptions (10 out of a total of 15). As was mentioned by Zhao (2019), the use of the Quizizz platform in the Accounting classroom helps to stimulate students' interest and improve their engagement. The result also agrees with the findings of other investigations, for example, Hamilton-Hankins (2017) used Quizizz in an English language art classroom and concluded that it had a positive impact on students' engagement.

The highest score was obtained by the level of agreement "I learned new words", which means that the participant's perception tends to show that he believes he had learned new words and that it was easy to acquire them. The levels of agreement that had the lowest score, according to the participant's

perception, were “It is an interesting game” and “I want to share it with my friends”. Perhaps it shows that the participant considered that the game was not designed for his age, or that it was very easy to answer, since he only had to mark the correct answer and there was no greater complexity that made it more attractive for him.

Maybe, not all the words (descriptive adjectives) were new for the participant, but his perception was that it was not difficult to understand the words, the phrases and the complex sentences. Another thing to consider is that the positive perception was shown also at the statement “I would like to use this game in other subjects”, which may mean that the participant would like to play in future occasions in a game suitable for other subjects.

A kind of limitation of perception, it is about the participant’s mood when playing the game and when he answered the Likert scale, it was observed he didn’t have the same temper in all the sessions, probably because he wanted to do something else or he did not want to play at that moment.

## **5.2 Limitations and implications**

When this action research was thought for the first time, it was planned to be conducted with very young learners at a kindergarten group of students, but because of the pandemic, it was impossible to continue with this idea. Instead of the young learners, it was selected only one young learner participant, but the rest of the original ideas, method, application, instruments, and procedure were unchanged.

As the participant is not the researcher’s student, it was more difficult to identify the level of knowledge the student had about descriptive adjectives and also about his general English language knowledge, despite considering his English teacher’s opinions.

Since this research was conducted with only one participant, it would be interesting and very beneficial to implement this strategy with Quizizz games in larger classes. Furthermore, this action research was created to solve a specific teaching English problem, findings cannot be generalized, and despite this, it could be a contribution to teachers who want to use a platform to teach specific topics.

Based on these findings the following suggestions for ESL and EFL teachers are presented here:

1. Findings evidenced that innovation using technological applications is a positive way to make students learn.
2. This action-research indicated that motivation is fundamental to make students learn easily.
3. To include different types of tasks related to one topic as *descriptive adjectives* will be an important challenge for teachers in terms of evaluating whether it works or not.

## **CHAPTER VI: CONCLUSIONS**

## CHAPTER VI: CONCLUSIONS

### 6.1 Summary of the main findings

This study was designed to evaluate the contribution of the Quizizz platform in the identification of descriptive adjectives in a single participant. It was used three kinds of instruments to achieve that goal, and there was a pedagogical procedure to follow.

Concerning the first specific objective: *to assess the participant's listening skills for identifying descriptive adjectives using the Quizizz platform* ; firstly, findings evidenced participant did not improve his listening skill after playing at Quizizz. This may be due to the fact that the participant had an unknown level of English for the researcher, or the participant's level of English was higher than expected, so when applying the instruments, results did not show great differences between one session and another, being all of them above average.

Another point is that the results were irregular in the observation checklist, the middle session obtained 100%, three of the sessions had 87% and the first session had the lowest result, 75%. The word "dirty" had the lowest percentage; it was only recognized in 2 sessions. At the multiple choice instrument the findings demonstrated that the greater the difficulty, the smallest the results, since in the last 2 sessions the results were smaller less. It was because the participant had to listen to complex sentences, even though the results were similar to those shown by the observation checklist.

Regarding the second specific objective, to identify the participant's perceptions about the Quizizz platform, the results showed the participant demonstrated a positive perception about the Quizizz game, it also showed the use of platforms is attractive to learners because it offers a different way to use English and other subjects. As teachers, we can create attractive and interactive ways of learn, that make students learning by doing.

On the other hand, one of the fundamental purposes is that students are motivated and comfortable when studying or when they are working on a subject, otherwise, the objectives of the class are not achieved, and students start to feel bored and show they don't like what they are doing, hence, I consider motivation essential when teaching.

With regards to the research question of this study, *how does the use of Quizizz platform improve the participant's listening skill to identify descriptive adjectives?* every time a new session was started, the participant had to listen to the explanations and the review of what was seen in the previous sessions, to which he always answered: "si , si , si ya me acuerdo", it can be inferred that the participant felt he was prepared to answer without the researcher's help, he looked confident to identify the descriptive adjectives from the second session.

## **6.2 Personal reflections**

Upon reaching this point in this action research, my reflection focuses on how to take advantage of the current available technological resources to teach a second language, and how it is that students, many times, advance in this subject more quickly than teachers; this is why, it was a challenge for me to get to know Quizizz, create games, organize the instruments and evaluate a student. I can't ignore that my career development also has a fundamental role in how I always want to innovate and create interesting and attractive things for my students.

Another point to consider is that the participant is not my student, he is my youngest son. It was the only choice I had of finding a research participant in this context of pandemic. Therefore, I know him in many ways, but not fundamentally as his English teacher; maybe for that reason I could not have clear knowledge of his level of English.

On the other hand, this research could not establish a comparison about the results with other participant, as there was only one participant. Maybe to have had different views of different results could have been more accurate.

## **6.3 Recommendations**

In my long experience as a nursery school teacher, I have been able to realize that innovation and the use of new tools is essential for the performance of teachers inside and outside the classroom, since it allows us to foster an integral development of our students.

It would be of great interest to apply this research to a group of students and see the different results of their answers; it could be also applied to a kindergarten group of students as planned from the very beginning. Hopefully, by doing this, many students can be benefited and many children can have access to these technological tools.

I hope this action research can help in-service teachers and future teachers to use different applications to positively influence the learning needs of their students.

## **CHAPTER VII: REFERENCES**

## CHAPTER VII: REFERENCES

Amir, S. (2018). Research in Use of Information & Communication Technologies (ICT) for Developing Listening Comprehension Competency in Foreign/Second Languages: A Review of Selected Tools. *International Journal of Social Sciences & Humanities*, 3(1), 44-53.

Arnott, L. (2016). The role of digital technologies. *The early years foundation stage: theory and practice*. London: Sage, 329-341.

Assessing Listening and Speaking Skills. ERIC Digest. (n.d.). Retrieved from <https://www.ericdigests.org/pre-923/speaking.htm>.

Basuki, Y., &Hidayati, Y. (2019, April). Kahoot! orQuizizz: the Students' Perspectives. In *Proceedings of the 3rd English Language and Literature International Conference (ELLiC)(2019)(Online: https://www. researchgate.net/publication/334358438\_Kahoot\_or\_Quizizz\_the\_Students'\_Perspectives)*.

Cameron, L. (2001). Children learning a foreign language. *Lynne Cameron, Teaching Languages to Young Learners*, 1-20.

Chavez, J., Jaramillo, C., &Liberona, D. (2016, July). Informational technology skills and media literacy in students: A case study. In *International Workshop on Learning Technology for Education Challenges* (pp. 25-38). Springer, Cham.

Croker, R. A., &Heigham, J. (2011). *Qualitative research in applied linguistics: a practical introduction*. BasingstokeHampshire: Palgrave Macmillan.

Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-based language learning and teaching*. Oxford University Press.

ESL Teaching Tips & Strategies for Any Grade Level / By Linda M. RhinehartNeas / Teaching English as a Second Language, Cambridge University Press.

Gardner, H. E. (2011). *Frames of mind: The theory of multiple intelligences*. Hachette Uk.

Garton, S., & Copland, F. (2019). *The Routledge handbook of teaching English to young learners*. London: Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.

Halliwell, S. (1992). *Teaching English in the primary classroom*. London: Longm.an

Hamilton-Hankins, O. J. (2017). The impact of technology integration on the engagement levels of ten second grade students in an English language arts classroom.

Hamouda, A. (2013). An exploration of causes of Saudi students' reluctance to participate in the English language classroom. *International Journal of English Language Education*, 1(1), 17-34.

- Hinkel, E. (2006). Current perspectives on teaching the four skills. *Tesol Quarterly*, 40(1), 109-131.
- Hwaider, S. (2017). Problems of teaching the listening skill to Yemeni EFL learners. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 7(6), 140-148.
- Lynch, T. (2018). *Teaching second language listening*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Lynch, S. M., Forman, E., Mendelsohn, M., & Herman, J. (2008). Attending to dissociation: Assessing change in dissociation and predicting treatment outcome. *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation*, 9(3), 301-319.
- Mead, N. A., & Rubin, D. L. (1985). Assessing Listening and Speaking Skills. ERIC Digest.
- Motteram, G., & Sharma, P. (2009). Blending learning in a web 2.0 world. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies and Society*, 7(2), 83.
- Motteram, G. (2013). Innovations in learning technologies for English language teaching. (n.d.). Retrieved from <http://www.teachingenglish.org.uk/article/innovations-learning-technologies-english-language-teaching>.
- Peters, P. (2013). *The Cambridge dictionary of English grammar*. Cambridge University Press. <http://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/adjective>
- Schmitt, N., & Rodgers, M. P. H. (2020). *An introduction to applied linguistics*. London: Routledge, Taylor & Francis Group
- Larenas, C. H. D., & Soto, M. J. T. (2020). Quizizz and smartphones: warm-up strategy for improving university students' class participation. *Chakiñan, Revista de Ciencias Sociales y Humanidades*.
- Stakanova, E., & Tolstikhina, E. (2014). Different approaches to teaching English as a foreign language to young learners. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 146, 456-460.
- Sykes, J. M., Reinhardt, J., & Thorne, S. L. (2010). Multiuser digital games as sites for research and practice. In *Directions and prospects for educational linguistics* (pp. 117-135). Springer, Dordrecht.
- T, J. (2014, February 10). Classroom Research (McKay, 2006). Retrieved from <https://prezi.com/kko4p8lgacmg/classroom-research-mckay-2006/>.
- Thorne, S.L. (2008). Mediating technologies and second language learning. *Handbook of research on new literacies*, 417-449.
- Thorne, S. L. (2008). Transcultural communication in open Internet environments and massively multiplayer online games. *Mediating discourse online*, 305327.

Wagner, E. (2013). An investigation of how the channel of input and access to test questions affect L2 listening test performance. *Language Assessment Quarterly*, 10(2), 178-195.

Yagcioglu, O. (2018). Teaching Adjectives and Adverbs in ESL Classes. *Online Submission*, 5(3), 272-278.

Zhao, F. (2019). Using Quizizz to Integrate Fun Multiplayer Activity in the Accounting Classroom. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 8(1), 37. doi: 10.5430/ijhe.v8n1p37.

# **APPENDICES**

APPENDIX A.1  
OBSEVATION CHECKLIST



## OBSERVATION CHECKLIST

Student's name: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Use a tick or a cross: (✓-x)

<b>Adjectives:</b>	SES.1	SES.2	SES.3	SES.4	SES.5	<b>Total</b>
<b>Date:</b>						
BEAUTIFUL						
BRAVE						
DANGEROUS						
DIRTY						
DRY						
FAST						
OLD						
NEW						
<b>Total</b>	/8	/8	/8	/8	/8	





APPENDIX A.2  
LIKERT SCALE

## LIKERT SCALE

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions: use an X to mark your best alternative.**

				
I likethisgame.				
It'saninterestinggame.				
I want to share it with my friends.				
I learned new words.				
I would like to use this game in other subjects.				

## APPENDIX A.3

### MULTIPLE CHOICE TASK

MULTIPLE CHOICE TASK: 1.

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Listen to the teacher and ring the correct alternative.

1. dangerous



2. old



MULTIPLE CHOICE TASK : 2


Name \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Listen to the teacher and ring the correct alternative.

1. This is a very dirty place.



3. He wants a new pair of sneakers.



MULTIPLE CHOICE TASK: 3

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Listen to the teacher and ring the correct alternative.

1. When I get its hair dry, it is very happy.



2. They are going to travel to a beautiful place for holidays.



MULTIPLE CHOICE TASK: 4

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Listen to the teacher and ring the correct alternative.

1. She is riding very fast, now she feels self confidence.



2. His sister is brave when climbing.




MULTIPLE CHOICE TASK: 5

Name \_\_\_\_\_ Date \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Listen to the teacher and ring the correct alternative.

1. A dangerous sport must be supervised by an expert, and you must take precautions to be safe.



2. The old car belongs to my grandfather; he doesn't want a new one.

